



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/475,448	12/30/1999	David Johnston LYNCH	RCA-89-385	6337		
24498 75	90 04/07/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
THOMSON LICENSING INC.			CHUNG, JASON J			
PATENT OPERATIONS PO BOX 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				FAFER NUMBER		
PRINCETON,	NJ 08545-5512		2611			
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		14 8	LYNCH, DAVID JOHNSTON			
		or .	Art Unit			
	Jason J.	Chung	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this comr Period for Reply	nunication appears on th	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the provise after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this control of the period for reply specified above is less than thith of the period for reply is specified above, the maximuter of the period for reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(UNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e communication. ty (30) days, a reply within the ste m statutory period will apply and w eply will, by statute, cause the ap ths after the mailing date of this c	vent, however, may a reply be tim atutory minimum of thirty (30) days will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.3) ☐ Since this application is in condit	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the share allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-29</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to result of the share allowed.	re withdrawn from cons					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to b 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ Applicant may not request that any of Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu 11) The oath or declaration is objected.	are: a) accepted or be bjection to the drawing(s) ding the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	`					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a classification. All b) Some * c) None of the prior of the prior of the copies of the prior of the certified copies of	f: rity documents have be rity documents have be ies of the priority docum ational Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/13/04 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 10-17, 19, 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collings (US Patent # 5,828,402) in view of Ford (US Patent # 6,181,364).

Page 3

Regarding claim 10, Collings discloses a video signal processor for producing an output signal suitable for coupling to a display device 22 to produce a plurality of images for display to at least one viewer (column 3, lines 17-31).

Collings discloses a supervisor control system 20 operable by a supervisor to create at least one viewer profile (profile: column 16, lines 50-67) identifying programs to be blocked from display to the at least one viewer (column 3, lines 5-16).

Collings discloses the supervisor control system 20 operable by the supervisor to select a specific program having a rating above a set rating for blocking programs applicable to the viewer profile such that the select program is unblocked (one or more features unblock [time and program unblocked]: column 17, lines 1-32).

Collings discloses whereby completion of the selected specific program, the supervisor control system 20 identifies programs to be blocked according to the at least one viewer profile (one or more features unblock [time and program unblocked]: column 17, lines 1-32; also see set and save threshold: column 17, line 49-column 18, line 4).

However, Collings fails to disclose the supervisor control system 20 identifies images to be blocked according to the at least one viewer profile. Ford discloses identifying images (column 5, lines 8-25) to be blocked according to the at least one viewer profile (column 8, lines 45-64). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Collings to identify images to be blocked according to at least one viewer profile as taught by Ford for the benefit of blocking objectionable video scenes.

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 11, Collings in view of Ford discloses the images (Ford: column 8, lines 45-64) correspond to programs and the viewer profile identifies programs to be blocked from display to the at least one viewer (Collings: column 17, lines 8-32; figures 5B and 5H).

Regarding claim 12, Collings in view of Ford discloses the images (Ford: images) correspond to channels and wherein the viewer profile identifies channels to be blocked from display to at least one viewer (Collings: column 17, lines 8-32; figures 5B and 5G).

Regarding claim 13, Collings in view of Ford discloses the viewer profile identifies at least one time period during which all images are to be blocked from display to the viewer (Collings: column 17, lines 8-32; figures 5B and 5I).

Regarding claim 14, Collings in view of Ford discloses an override list (Collings: column 17, lines 20-32; figure 5B) is formed including a selection of a plurality of programs (Collings: delete program(s) from list to unblock: column 19, line 52-column 20, line 6; figure 5H) having a rating above a set rating for blocking programs applicable to the viewer profile by a user and the override list is applicable to at least one corresponding viewer profile such that at lest one image (Ford: images) to be blocked according to the viewer profile is unblocked and at least one other image not blocked according to the viewer profile is blocked (Collings: user overrides one label to 'allow' and overrides another label to 'block': figure 5E; also see column 17, lines 1-32).

Regarding claim 15, Collings discloses the override list (figure 5B) is temporarily applied to at least one viewer profile (column 17, lines 1-32).

Regarding claim 16, Collings discloses the override list is applied for a period of time specified by the supervisor (suspend for 1 hour: column 17, lines 20-32).

Regarding claim 17, Collings discloses the override list includes at least one override selected from the group comprising at least one channel override, at least one time period blocking override, at least one rating blocking override, at least one program override, at least one total view time override (column 17, lines 20-32; figure 5B).

Regarding claim 19, Collings discloses the control system 20 operable by the supervisor to create a plurality of override lists (more than one feature) applicable to the at least one viewer profile (column 17, lines 20-32; figure 5B).

Regarding claim 21, Collings discloses the supervisor control system 20 for producing an output signal includes at least one item selected from the group comprising: television receiver, VCR tuner (column 3, lines 17-30).

Regarding claim 22, Collings discloses a display to a viewer a blocking status based upon the override list (figure 5B).

Regarding claim 23, the limitations in claim 23 have been met in claim 10 rejection.

Regarding claim 24, Collings discloses forming the override list (figures 5B-5I) and the user preference information is stored in non-volatile memory 58 (column 13, lines 7-25; column 16, lines 35-40).

Regarding claim 25, the limitations in claim 25 have been met in claim 16 rejection.

Regarding claim 27, the limitations in claim 27 have been met in claim 11 rejection.

Regarding claim 28, the limitations in claim 28 have been met in claim 12 rejection.

Regarding claim 29, the limitations in claim 29 have been met in claim 13 rejection.

4. Claims 18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Collings in view of Ford in further view of West (US Patent # 5,550,575).

Regarding claims 18, 20, as previously disclosed in claim 10 rejections, Collings discloses the multiple overrides. Collings discloses the user preference for viewing (column 3, lines 2-16).

Neither Collings nor Ford discloses multiple viewer profiles. West discloses PINs for each member of a household (column 5, lines 3-19). West discloses the multiple profiles so the user may decide what level is permissible for each family member so that the censorship is applicable to different age groups (column 5, lines 30-40). West discloses overrides to select the ratings for viewing (column 7, lines 29-40). Additionally, West discloses the parents can override the program ratings (column 14, lines 16-37). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Collings in view of Ford to have a plurality of profiles as taught by West so the parent can specify the level of viewing for each member of a household that has different age groups.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason J. Chung whose telephone number is (703) 305-7362. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (703) 305-4755. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/475,448

Art Unit: 2611

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JJC

CHRIS GRANT